

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/887,040	06/25/2001	Gershon Elber	01/21686	7400	
75	590 02/14/2005		EXAM	INER	
G.E. EHRLICH (1995) LTD.			HAILU, TADESSE		
c/o ANTHONY CASTORINA SUITE 207			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE		
2001 JEFFERSON DAVIS HIGHWAY			2173		
ARLINGTON,	VA 22202	•	DATE MAILED: 02/14/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

## Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/887,040	ELBER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Tadesse Hailu	2173	

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	<u> </u>						
	Tadesse Hailu	2173							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address									
THE REPLY FILED 27 February 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.							
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amend condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. Th</li> </ol>	a Notice of Appeal. To avoid aband ment, affidavit, or other evidence, v al fee) in compliance with 37 CFR of e reply must be filed within one of t	donment of this applic which places the appli 41.31; or (3) a Reque	ication in st for Continued						
The period for reply expires <u>3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.</u> The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later.									
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7.	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri nally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as						
2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appewas filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 Chas been filed, any reply must be filed within the time per	1.37 must be filed within two month CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of	s of the date of filing	the Notice of						
AMENDMENTS									
3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a)  They raise new issues that would require further co			ecause						
(a) ☐ They raise thew issues that would require further co		TE below);							
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or	• •	ducing or simplifying	the issues for						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.							
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).								
4. 🔲 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).						
$5. \ igsqcup$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)									
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	•	•	•						
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> .	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will will will will will will will wi	ll be entered and an e	explanation of						
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-47</u> .									
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:									
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an									
was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	•		·						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	overcome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a						
10.  The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.						
I1.   The request for reconsideration has been considered bu  .	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:						
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  Other:	•		•						
o. 🔟 ouidi	Z.	FUSTINE ZINC KRISTINE KINCAID	and Angeleris R						
	SUP III	enter Canclogy Center	2100						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 9-04)

Ì

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Tthe amended claims at least include "default positioning of objects" in relation to each other, this portion at least requires further consideration/search.